UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,290	06/04/2007	Leif Axelsson	4660-8	4095
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 02/24/201 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	SARWAR, BABAR		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/584,290	AXELSSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	BABAR SARWAR	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 January 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (the MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f.)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slaset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENIAN APPEAR</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	t major to the data of filing a bajot	will not be ontored be	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  (a) They raise new issues that would require further con  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO v);	TE below);	
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li></ul>	er form for appeal by materially re	aucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s).	·	•	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-45,48-50</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (l</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/NICK CORSARO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/BABAR SARWAR/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argued about features wherein "link monitoring circuitry for acquiring link quality information indicating link status between said infrastructure nodes" "electronic processing circuitry for using said link quality information in a route path determination process in the infrastructure nodes using a predictive procedure." and "said predictive procedure uses said time varying information of link status in the predictive procedure," reads over the applied art as follows;

Kennedy discloses a method for managing and controlling the discovery and maintenance of routes in the network including transmitting beacon signals from each mobile node, determining a node or group condition at each mobile node, and varying the beacon signals based upon the determined node condition. Kennedy discloses that the node condition may include node movement, and varying the beacon signal may comprise increasing the transmission rate based upon increased node movement and decreasing the transmission rate based upon decreased node movement. Node movement comprises at least one of node velocity, node acceleration and node movement pattern of the corresponding mobile node or group of mobile nodes. Node condition information is based upon node mobility, link failure, link creation, node stability and link quality, and storing node condition information may comprise creating and updating a time-dependent route stability profile. Furthermore, storing node condition information may also include creating and updating a time-dependent route segment stability profile. Kennedy further discloses that the condition may include priority of information and QoS, such as bit/packet error rate, usable available bandwidth, node movement, such as velocity and acceleration. Kennedy discloses building and updating routing tables at each node with either a proactive or reactive route discovery protocol to define routes in the network. The route stability is predicted, estimated, or tracked over time based upon the node condition information, and the method switches to one of proactive or reactive route discovery protocols as disclosed in Abstract, Col. 3:8-23, Col. 5:64-67, Col. 6:1-12, Col. 6:25-28,Col. 6:35-38, Figs. 1-2. Thus the applied art teaches the above mentioned limitations.